Case 2:21-cr-00100-JAM Document 22 Filed 01/05/22 Page 1 of 3

1	PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney ROSS PEARSON Assistant United States Attorney				
2					
3	501 I Street, Suite 10-100 Sacramento, CA 95814				
4	Telephone: (916) 554-2700 Facsimile: (916) 554-2900				
5	1 acsimic. (710) 334-2700				
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of America				
7	Officed States of America				
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
10	LASTERNOIST	RICT OF CALIFORNIA			
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. 2:21-CR-00100-JAM			
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE			
13	v.	TIME PERIODS UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT; ORDER			
14	STEVEN DANIEL WOODS,	DATE: January 11, 2022			
15	Defendant.	TIME: 9:30 a.m. COURT: Hon. John A. Mendez			
16					
17	STIPULATION				
18	Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel of record, and defendant, by and				
19	through defendant's counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:				
20	1. By previous order, this matter was set for status on January 11, 2022.				
21	2. By this stipulation, defendant now moves to continue the status conference until February				
22	15, 2022 at 9:30 a.m., and to exclude time between January 11, 2022, and February 15, 2022, under				
23	Local Code T4.				
24	3. The parties agree and stipulate, ar	nd request that the Court find the following:			
25	a) The government has represented that the discovery associated with this case				
26	includes over one hundred pages of reports, photos, and search warrant documents. All of this				
27	discovery has been either produced directly to counsel and/or made available for inspection and				
28	copying.				

Case 2:21-cr-00100-JAM Document 22 Filed 01/05/22 Page 2 of 3

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5
	6
	7
2	8

- b) Counsel for defendant desires additional time to review the discovery with her client, research and investigate possible defenses, discuss any potential resolution with her client, research mitigating evidence and present it to the prosecution, and otherwise prepare for trial.
- c) Counsel for defendant believes that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny him/her the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
 - d) The government does not object to the continuance.
- e) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- f) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of January 11, 2022 to February 15, 2022, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4] because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.
- 4. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial must commence.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Dated: January 4, 2022

PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney

/s/ ROSS PEARSON
ROSS PEARSON
Assistant United States Attorney

1 2 3 4 5	Counsel for STEVEN D (Authorized 4, 2022)	LISON
6	6	
7	FINDINGS AND ORDER	
8	IT IS SO FOUND AND ORDERED this 4 th day of January, 2022.	
9	9 /s/ John A. N	Mendez
10	10 11	PRABLE JOHN A. MENDEZ TATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
11		TATES DISTRICT COOKT JODGE
12	12	
13	13	
14	14	
15	15	
16	16	
17	17	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23 24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
_		